Minutes, Energy Advisory Committee/Design Review Committee May 11, 2020, 7:00PM

- I. Call to Order. 7:04 PM. Attending: Valerie White, Lajos Kamocsay (chair), Silas Fyler, George Aronson. DRC: Ted Galeota, Sidd Jeevan, Colin Barbera, Ron Goodman, Paul Wen, Paul Driscoll (Sharon Soccer Assn), Julie Rowe. Sharon TV: Max Goodman. Observing: Judy Crosby, Mike Sherman, Rob Maidman, Kathy Farrell, Don Brichta, Ira Miller
- II. Approval of minutes from May 4, 2020. Deferred to next meeting to incorporate Ron Goodman's suggestions, as they haven't been circulated.
- III. Consider/vote on recommendations to Selectboard for the Landfill, Gavins Pond and East Elementary solar projects.
 - Landfill: Lajos: DRC met last Friday. Sidd: DRC made some recommendations, emailed right before meeting. Lajos screen-shares document, makes some format changes, will send to Valerie for attachment to minutes. George: understood DRC would provide suggestions, rather than being an approval authority, as suggested by the grid displayed. Mention of "approval" by DRC not consistent with role. Duration of DRC up to Selectboard. Judy: it is up to Selectboard when to disband their appointed committees. Ted: One of the items to look at is following up on continuing Landfill mitigation review because of uncertainties. We are done with East or Gavins. George: wants rewording because of approval language. Rob: wetlands bring it into Conservation Committee realm. George agrees. Substantial revision done regarding "approval" language. Lajos: moves approval of revised recommendations document on screen-share. Silas seconds. EAC unanimous in favor. DRC: vote in favor of the document except for line five: 6-0-1. Vote opposing Line 5: 6-0-1.
 - 2. Gavins: Paul Wen had question about language on adopted recommendations. No change made.
 - 3. East: Question about vote at previous meeting regarding sketch of trees. Lajos: Move to forward the displayed sketch of tree placement to Select Board to be considered within the context of the recommendation for line of site abutters for visual mitigation at East Elementary. Silas: seconds, EAC Passes unanimously
- IV. Prepare for next Selectboard meeting. No action.
- V. Group discussion and topics not reasonably anticipated within 48 hours of the meeting. Lajos: Received open meeting law complaint. Wants to schedule meeting to consider response. George: Suggests Wednesday 5/20/2020, 7:00PM. All AEC agree. Lajos will reach out to Selectboard regarding counsel.
- VI. Schedule next meeting with DRC: Monday, May 18, 2020, 7:00PM. Sidd wonders if we should make sure DSD is ready for that date.
- VII. Adjourn Lajos moves, Valerie seconds. Unanimous.

					Decisio	
#	Issue	Mitigation	Status	Recommendation	n	Comments

	1			
	Elaborate		Keep intact Solar	
	DSD's		Design Review	
	tentative		Committee	
	implementatio		(currently expiring	
	n schedule to		in June, 2020)	
			empowered to	
	specify: a)			
	inputs and b)		ensure mitigation	
	outputs		compliance by	
	pertaining to		DSD per Select	
	each of the		Board decisions.	
	key			
	milestones in		Order to keep	
	the plan.		SDRC must be	
	Include parties		executed prior to	
	involved along		the completion of	
	with key		Eversource	
	dependencies		interconnection	
	and approvals.		study and as a	
	and approvais.		condition to	
	Ensure that			
			issuance of the	
	submission to		building permit.	
	Eversource			
	and MassDEP		DSD should	
	are reviewed		publish detailed	
	by the SDRC		implementation	
	and line-of-		plan with upcoming	
	sight abutters,		milestones and	
	and reviewed		submissions to	
	and approved		agencies such as:	
	by appropriate		Eversource for	
	Town		interconnection	
	departments.		study, and	
	This is to		MassDEP for	
	avoid delays		Landfill post	
No definitive site	to the project		closure use permit	
plan due to several	(revenue) and		etc. Applicable	
moving parts and	mitigation		Town departments	
unknowns	options		such DPW,	
Landfill of the base	available on		Conservation	
Landfill site has a	the site.		Commission and	
complicated	_		line-of-sight	
implementation	The design		abutters should	
plan with tentative	cannot be		review submissions	
completion date set			to these agencies.	
in Jan, 2023 due to	numerous			
dependencies such	agencies (i.e.		Include compliance	
as: Interconnection	Eversource,		of conditions for	
study, Landfill post	DEP)		mitigation design	
closure use permit	complete their		and implementation	
from MassDEP,	review, the		into the	
Proximity to	SDR		lease/contract.	
wetlands and Line	Committee		Final lease	Applicable
of sight abutter	should be kept		document should	to Gavins
visual, sound and	intact until		be reviewed with	Pond as
2 glare mitigation etc.		Pending	SDRC prior to	well
	Such une unal	renuing		WCII

		the final solar design and mitigation are completed and submitted to the Select Board for approval.		signing. The Mitigation design should be reviewed by line-of- sight abutters and SDRC, and agreed upon by applicable Town departments, Tree Warden, Conservation Commission, Town Engineer. All mitigation installations should be maintained/replace d by DSD throughout the duration of contract.	
3	Process for issuing building permit	Order of conditions for visual, sound and glare mitigation should be included in the lease agreement and contractual obligations	Pending Per SB board meeting on 04/28. Town and DSD are working on appropriate amendments	SDRC should review and appropriate Town departments, Tree Warden, Town Engineer, Conservation Commission will review and approve mitigation measures for landfill site prior to issuance of building permit by the Town. To avoid delays to the project it is essential that such mitigation efforts are initiated by DSD in a timely manner and are part of appropriate site plan submissions to MassDEP and Town departments. For example, if the access road would need to be relocated on the site, the application	Applicable to Gavins Pond as well

submitted to MassDEP should include options for	
re-positioning of	
the access road.	
Refer to mitigation process followed by Town of Ashland (note: the Ashland project is approximately 1/6th the capacity of the proposed Sharon	
project). Conduct view analysis and mitigation design for 50, 54, 58 Azalea Rd and other line-of-sight abutters.	
Create buffer area on East side of landfill similar to site design published on 04/27 i.e. setback area (Triangle space parallel to East side of site)	
Relocate access road to create additional space for creating berm and planting rows of trees on East side (parallel to Azalea Rd) of the landfill site	
Line-of-sight Town of Ablance Ashland as an	
4 mitigation example Pending residence.	

		[
		Create green space - green site; in buffer area outside of the cap Mitigation plan should be reviewd by the SDRC and line-of-sight abutters, and approved by Tree Warden, Conservation Commission, Town Engineer. All mitigation installations should be maintained/replace d by DSD throughout the duration of contract.	
		DSD shall be required to evaluate options to mitigate visual impacts that include those listed in the item for line- of-sight abutter visual mitigation line above.	
		Mitigation plan should be reviewed by the SDRC and line-of-sight abutters, and approved by Tree Warden, Conservation Commission, Town Engineer.	Note: SDRC believes that this line item discourage s DSD from providing
Additional considerations for line-of-sight abutter 5 visual mitigation		Provided, that mitigation plan shall not include measures that result in material reduction of the capacity of the installation.	mitigation. Best capacity is achieved by Select Board approval process for the site.

6	Siting of electrical equipment (battery, inverter/transformer , meters, breaker switch, recloser, etc.) and location of utility easements	Select location of equipment to avoid noise impacts to abutters. Protect the transformer with eco- friendly slats/fence. Landscape to mitigate visual impacts. Conform to MassDEP limits on utility construction	Pending Subject to action by Eversource. Awaits completion of Eversource interconnectio n study and design of required equipment	Order of conditions in the contract should include - sound impact study after interconnection study and post completion of the project to identify any variances from the projections. If required, implement mitigation reviewed by the SDRC and line-of-sight abutters, and approved by appropriate town departments. All mitigation installations should be maintained/replace d by DSD throughout the duration of contract.	
7	Size of installation and other impacts, if any, of post- closure use permit on design are not yet known	None expected based on MassDEP pre- application meeting and site knowledge (?)	Pending	If the size of installation is reduced, prioritize removal of panels from area towerds east side of the landfill (parallel to Azalea Rd)	
8	Glare impact. Studies indicate low or modest impacts.	Adjust panel configurations if needed	Subject to MassDEP conditions of post-closure use permit and Eversource action on interconnectio n	Order of conditions in the contract should include glare impact study after completion to identify and variances from the projections. If required, implement mitigation reviewed by the SDRC and line-of-sight abutters, and approved by appropriate town departments.	

				All mitigation installations should be maintained/replace d by DSD throughout the duration of contract.	
g	Changes to Solar Panels	Order of conditions for change to Solar Panels	Pending	Changes of Solar Panels should be reviewed by the SDRC and line-of- sight abutters, and approved by Town Engineer. Specifically, if the change affects the size of panels, efficiency, recyclability characteristics. If additional revenue is achieved by change of panels Select Board should approve	